winds, as deduced from hourly readings of the self-registering anemometers, have not been computed during the year 1896, but the relation between the resultants from two observations per day, and those from twenty-four hourly observations can be estimated by a comparison between Tables V and VI, pp. 544 and 545 of the Summary for 1894.

The general agreement of the resultant winds within any climatological section depends upon the nature of the irregularities in the immediate neighborhood of the station; an intimate agreement can not be expected when stations are so far apart and so variously located as those of the Weather Bureau. In such cases as that of Erie, Cleveland, Sandusky, and Toledo, all similarly located on the south shore of Lake Erie, the agreement is very close, so, also, with Block Island and Nantucket.

## FREQUENCY OF THUNDERSTORMS.

The successive Monthly Weather Reviews have given for each day and each State the number of thunderstorms reported by both regular and voluntary observers. Tables VI and VII give a summary of these monthly tables. In order to ascertain the relative frequency of thunderstorms, as explained in the Summary for 1894, it is proper to divide the number of storms reported by the number of stations in order to deduce the average number per station. The results of this division are given in the eighth column of Table B, which shows

Table B.—Frequency of thunderstorms and auroras during 1896.

	n units of sq. miles.	Number of stations.		factor.	Total for 1896.		Frequency perstation.	
State.	Areas in u 10,000 sq.:	Needed.	Reporting.	Reduction factor	Thunder- storms.	Auroras.	Thunder- storms.	Auroras.
	Are 10	Ne.	Rei	Rec	Th	Au	Thu st	Au
AlabamaArizona	5.1 11.4	128 385	45 30	2.8 12.8	376 213	0	8.4 7.1	0.00
Arkansas	5.2	130	40	3.2	465	ō	11.6	0.00
California	15.8	395	115	3.4	381	0	3.3	0.00
Connecticut	$0.4 \\ 0.5$	260 12	70 20	3.4 0.6	562 270	7 38	8.0 13.5	0.10 1.90
Delaware	0.2	5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.8	îii	16	18.5	2.67
District of Columbia	0.01	0.2	2	0.5	33	1	16.5	0.50
Florida	5.9	148 145	30	4.9	837	o	27.9	0.00
Georgia Idaho	5.8 8.1	215	45 35	3.2 8.3	343 298	27	7.6 8.5	0.02
Illinois	5.5	138	80	1.8	1, 441	57	18.0	0.71
Indiana	3.4	85	40	2.4	529	12	13.2	0.30
Indian Territory	6.9	172 138	5	84.4	36	0	7.2	0.00
Iowa Kansas	5.5 8.1	202	80 65	1.7 3.1	1, 204 792	72 35	15.0 12.2	0.54
Kentucky	3.8	95	40	2.7	375	7	9.4	0.18
Louisiana	4.1	102	45	2.3	781	0	15.1	0.00
Maine	3.5	88 28	15	5.9	134	97 38	9.6	6.47 1.27
Maryland	1.1 0.8	20	30 65	0.9	496 539	95	16.5 8.3	1.46
Michigan	5.6	140	70	2.3	879	130	12.6	1.86
Minnesota	8.4	210	60	8.5	839	194	14.0	3.23
Mississippi Missouri	$\frac{4.7}{6.5}$	118 162	45 85	3.0 1.0	564	.1	12.5 22.9	0.02
Montana	14.4	860	35	1.0	1, 945 175	17 128	5.0	3.66
Nebraska	7.6	190	90	2.4	808	67	9.0	0.74
Nevada	11.2	280	85	8.0	247	12	7.1	0.34
New Hampshire New Jersey	0.9	22 20	20 45	0.4	187 701	121 52	9.4 15.6	6.05 1.16
New Mexico	12.1	302	30	12.1	277	ő	9.2	0.00
New York	4.7	118	65	2.0	650	114	10.0	1.75
North Carolina	5.1	128	50	2.6	1,267	2	25.3	0.04
North DakotaOhio	7.5 4.0	185 100	35 125	6.2	241 2,016	224 123	6,9 16,3	0.06
Oklahoma	4.0	100	18		130	120	7.2	0.00
Oregon	9.5	238	45	5.3	119	ì	2.7	0.02
Pennsylvania	4.6	115	70	1.6	868	38	17.3	0.55
Rhode Island South Carolina	0.1 3.4	2 85	6 35	0.3 2.4	57 582	15 0	9.5 16.0	2.50 0.00
South Dakota	7.6	190	40	4.8	280	7Ŏ	7.0	1.75
Tennessee	4.6	115	35	3.3	716	5	20.5	0.14
Texas	27.4	686	75	9.1	568	1	7.4	0.04
Utah Vermont	8.4 1.0	210 25	25 12	8.4 2.1	226 138	0 46	9.0 11.5	0.00 3.84
Virginia	6.1	152	35	4.3	543	7	15.2	0.20
Washington	7.0	175	45	3.9	101	21	2.2	0.48
West Virginia	2.3	58	30	1.9	421	5	14.0	0.17
Wisconsin	5.3 9.8	132 245	55 10	2.2 24.5	895 45	191 6	16.3 4.5	3.47 0.60
17 JOHNIES	0.0	~40	10	<b>~</b> 4.5	40		4.0	0.00

that the greatest frequencies per station per year were: Florida, 27.9; North Carolina, 25.3; Missouri, 22.9; Tennessee, 20.5. The smallest frequencies were: California, 3.3; Montana, 5.0; Oregon, 2.7; Washington, 2.2.

The product of the observed number of thunderstorms by the reduction factors given in column five of Table B would give the approximate total number of thunderstorms for the respective States, which total number, of course, depends largely on the area of the State, and is omitted from this table, as it has no meteorological significance as compared with the frequency per station.

## FREQUENCY OF AURORAS.

Tables VIII and IX give a summary of the detailed tables of auroral frequency in the respective Monthly Weather Reviews. In the absence of more precise knowledge, it is assumed that the number of observers reporting all auroras is the same as those reporting all thunderstorms; the total number of either class of observers is decidedly less than the total number of those who report rainfall and temperature, and is estimated to be as given in the fourth column of Table B. The total number of auroras reported divided by the number of observing stations for any State gives the relative frequency per station, and this number relates to a physical phenomenon, and is comparable with similar ratios for other parts of the world, provided the aurora is so low as not to be obscured by a cloudy sky. On the other hand, if the auroral light emanate from a region far above the cloud, then a further correction for cloudiness is needed, but this has not been applied in the present case, as the Editor believes that we have no certain proof as to the extreme altitude of the auroras, and that, on the other hand, there are many reasons to believe that the light emanates from the cloud region itself.

The States that report the greatest frequency of auroras per station are: Maine, 6.47; North Dakota, 6.40; New Hampshire, 6.05; Vermont, 3.84; Montana, 3.66; Wisconsin, 3.47;

Minnesota, 3.23.

## SUNSHINE AND CLEAR SKY.

The successive Monthly Weather Reviews have presented in Table XI the percentages of sunshine, as recorded by selfregisters of either the photographic or the thermometric type, and the corresponding chapter in the text has called attention to the systematic differences between the instrumental and the personal observations of the average daily sunshine or clear sky. These differences are, doubtless, in part due to what may be called instrumental and personal peculiarities as affecting the respective records. In addition to these peculiarities we must consider the fact that the photographic register gives essentially a record of the duration of a certain limiting intensity of actinic effect of direct sunshine; the thermometric register gives a record of the duration of certain limiting values of the total heat of direct sunshine plus atmospheric and terrestrial radiation; the personal observation of cloudiness aims to give the percentage of area of clear sky. There is no simple relation between these three classes of data, and yet as the records are often used indiscriminately, each for the other, it becomes interesting to ascertain how nearly they agree. The differences between the instrumental and personal records, as given from month to month, are collected together in the two following tables for the photographic and the thermometric stations respectively. A cursory examination of these tables shows that there is an annual periodicity by reason of which the differences are, in both cases, larger in the summer than in the winter months. This annual period is apparently due to the greater altitude of the sun in the summer season by reason of which both the actinic and the thermal power of the sun's rays is increased, wherefore the instrumental records must be interpreted to mean that, for the same percentage of clear sky as determined by personal estimates, there is, in the summer time, a larger proportion of hours during which the limiting thermal or actinic effect prevails. The stations are arranged from south to north in the order of latitude, that is to say, in the order of